

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	ГЕ	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/502,402	07/26/200	14	Eiichi Soga	Q82699	5366	
23373	7590 05/	/11/2006		EXAMINER		
	E MION, PLLC	WILLIAMS,	WILLIAMS, THOMAS J			
2100 PENN SUITE 800	SYLVANIA AVE	ENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
-	ron, DC 20037			3683		
				DATE MAILED: 05/11/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/502,402	SOGA ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas J. Williams	3683	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		•	
1. ⊠ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on			indonment of
this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire in	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of excunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	within the time period set forth in 3	7 CFR 41.37(a).	
AMENDMENTS	had a day at the day of City and the	20 4 1 4 1 1	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co 			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		i L below),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beta		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	, ,,		
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered, or b) □ will will vided below or appended.	I be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 2</u> .			
Claim(s) vithdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			•
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	it before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after ef	ntry is below or attach	ied.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
I2. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SR/08 or PTO-1449) Pager N	lo(s)	
13. Other:	(i 10,00,00 oi 1 10-1 110) i apei ii	(3)	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the proposed amendment to the claim would require further consideration. With regards to the enablement rejection, the originally filed disclosure simply fails to state the claimed matter in question. The arguments proceed to incorporate information not in the disclosure to support the claimed subject matter. It is unclear to the examiner why the applicant believes this to be appropriate. The MPEP clearly states that the specification should fully disclose the invention at the time of filing. The incorporation of extraneous information afterwards is highly questionable.

TJW May 9, 2006

THOMAS J. WILLIAMS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Thomas Williams

AU 3683 May 9 2006